



HoverCal + PoloCalC

- Millimeter-Wave Polarization Angle Calibration
- **Using UAV-Based Sources**
- For Cosmic Microwave Background experiments

BASAL FB210003 QUIMAL 240004 ERC Advanced 101096035 ERC Consolidator SciPol Emergences RS36J20IDXC2 "DRONE" IRL-FCLA visiting program

Rolando Dünner (PUC)

CMB-CAL Workshop, Milano, Italy, 2024

The team

PUC:

- > Rolando Dünner
- > Juan Fluxá
- Matías Rojas
- > Carlos Hervías
- > Roberto Puddu
- > Andrés Guesalaga

≻ ...

Milano-Bicocca:

- > Federico Nati
- > Gabriele Coppi
- Mario Zannoni
- > Federico Astori
- > Nadia Dachlythra
- > Thierry Souverin
- > Ludovico Bizzarri
- > G Rancati Cattaneo

≻ ...

SO:

>

- > Nicholas Galitzki
- > Jeff McMahon
- > Felipe Carrero
- > Katie Harrington
- ➤ Elle Shaw
- ➤ Sam Day-Weiss

CNRS - APC:

- ▹ Josquin Errard
- > Ema Tsang King Sang
- Amalia Villarrubia
 Aguilar
- » Simon Biquard

>

CLASS:

- > Tobias Marriage
- > Yunyang Li
- ▹ Joseph Eimer
- ▹ John Appel
- Matthew Petroff
- ≻ ...

Cosmic Birefringence

Need to calibrate the absolute polarization angle to < 0.1 deg







Photogrammetric attitude reconstruction

- We use photogrammetry to measure the time varying position of the source with high accuracy.
- The camera position and angle can be precisely reconstructed from fiducial marks on the ground.
- The polarization angle of the source, defined by a wire grid polarizer, is referenced to the angle of the camera.
- The source and camera are held on a rigid frame, and their relative angles are calibrated in the laboratory.
- The landmark system is based on a 3D reconstruction of the site, including the exact location of the telescopes.



Thermal loading and power constraints

Using CLASS as reference



- The diluted temperature of the drone sets a limit to the minimum distance, which is more stringent at higher frequency bands. We will consider 500 meters to be our nominal distance.
- For direct beam measurements, we estimate that a forward transmitted power of -18 dBm will suffice all distances and frequency bands.

Flight programs

Measuring telescope optics in nominal observing conditions

- The drone is flown 500 meters away, at nominal elevation as seen by the telescopes.
- The drone moves up and down forming an arc, at constant distance from the telescopes.
- Meanwhile, the telescopes scan in azimuth, similar to their normal CMB observations.
- The gimbal keeps the source pointing to a fixed POI, strategically located at the center of site, not in any particular telescope.
- The drone batteries allow for 7-10 minutes of useful flight time, which translates into 3-5 up-down scans, producing 300-500 useful detector crossings.
- The drone's launch point and trajectory is always kept away from people and equipment at the site, performing the high altitude program when already far from the telescope site for safety reasons.



HoverCal + PoloCalC



Radio-Frequency Design of the Sources

Lightweight, tunable, modulated and electronically controlled

- Three coherent sources, operating at 90, 150 and 220 GHz, base on a Valon PLL and VDI frequency multipliers.
- Tunable between 75-115, 110-170 and 220-300 GHz respectively.
- Active attenuation.
- Electronically chopped at 47 Hz.
- Low directivity output feed.
- A wire grid polarizer with 99.9% degree of polarization.
- System control and communications provided by a Raspberry PI 4.
- Custom made auxiliary power and sensor boards complete the control electronics.
- Additional GPS (timing), inclinometer and weather sensors are included.



90 GHz

WR-22

SMA 2.92

RF source characterization

We characterized the RF properties of the source, including frequency and power stability, beam pattern and polarization degree.



Average frequency stability (SD) of the RF source is ~0.1 ppm. Average frequency offset of the RF source is ~3.5 kHz.





Average Stability (standard deviation) of the RF source power through the usable frequency range (130 to ~157 GHz) is estimated at 0.106 dB.





New Anechoic Chamber at Milano-Bicocca



Photogrammetry

Camera calibration

- The photogrammetry camera optics are calibrated using reference targets.
- This is done using nominal camera settings, in 4K video mode, infinity focus and same exposure configuration than used during flight.
- The primary optical parameters (focal length, pixel size, and image center) are critical for the photogrammetric reconstruction, as they scale and shift the result.
- The secondary optical (radial and tangential distortions), are also measured, but their overall effect in the camera position and aim determination are small compared to our needs.



$$egin{array}{rcl} ilde{x}_i &=& x_i(1+k_1\,r_i^2+k_2\,r_i^4+k_3\,r_i^6+2\,p_1\,y_i+3\,p_2\,x_i)+p_2y_i^2 \ ilde{y}_i &=& y_i(1+k_1\,r_i^2+k_2\,r_i^4+k_3\,r_i^6+2\,p_2\,x_i+3\,p_1\,y_i)+p_1x_i^2 \end{array}$$



Params.	mean (mu)	error (std)			
fx	2569.61	8.64			
fy	2568.58	8.33			
сх	1881.57	8.61			
су	1087.14	3.53			
k1	0.0195	0.0057			
k2	-0.0420	0.0232			
k3	0.0306	0.0271			
p1	-0.00027	0.00046			
p2	-0.00108	0.00097			

Camera reconstruction uncertainties







Camera - Wire Grid Alignment

Using laser diffraction pattern





- The camera and the polarizing grid must be carefully aligned in the laboratory previous to the experiment on the field.
- The angle is determined with a dispersion of less than 0.01 deg.

Photogrammetric reconstruction of the source position



- We compare the photogrammetric reconstruction camera position to the (more accurate) GPS position.
- The mean offset in distance is less than 24 cm.
- The slow position-dependent drift is due to residual miss-calibration of the camera optics, with an std. of 13 cm.
- After removing the slow drift (averaging every 30 frames), the remaining residuals have an std of 3 cm.
- These residuals may still contain real motions of the source with respect to the drone.

Source attitude and "vibrations"

- The source is actively pointed towards the POI during flight.
- Slow attitude corrections compensate the drone motion during the flight.
- The drift residuals are indicative of source fast "vibrations", combined with measurement errors.
- The dispersion of the attitude angles is less than 0.4 deg. for roll, being an upper limit to the precision of the roll angle measurement.



Photogrammetry Roll Angle Jackknife analysis

- Measurement errors are dominated by target centroid determination
- We compute the roll angle dispersion repeating the solution after randomly removing 1 target at a time.





Site Campaigns

• April 2022

- 11 flights
- $_{\circ}$ 90 and 150 GHz sources
- 。 CLASS, Simons Array (PBa), ACT
- Tested different flight strategies
- Tested source power and thermal loading

February 2023

- 10 flights
- 90 and 150 GHz sources
- CLASS
- Tested the new control system and auxiliary inclinometer
- April 2024

•

- 17 flights
- 90 and 150 GHz sources
- CLASS, SO, ALMA
- ALMA green light
- Completed CLASS boresight angles
- December 2024: full calibration flights



Time-Ordered Data

CLASS 150

counts

Detector

PSD

0.4

SO-SAT1 150

- The detector TOD show the instant when a detector sees the drone.
- The source signal is chopped at 47 Hz, producing a spectral line in the TOD.
- Both CLASS and SO-SAT have polarization modulators, operating at 10 and 8 Hz respectively.
- The signal is thus double demodulated, appearing at both sides of the main 47 Hz carrier.
- The double demodulated signal is purely polarized.





Pointing reconstruction from telescope data

60

50

40

30

20

10

- CLASS and SO-SAT TODs where analyzed to determine the pointing accuracy of the system.
- We measured the time delay and pointing error of every event in which a detector beam crossed the drone.
- A Gaussian beam model was used to reconstruct the crossing event and find the pointing errors.
- The azimuth errors are better constrained by the telescope scan.
- The pointing dispersion is close to 0.01 deg (0.6 arcmin)



-0.075 -0.050 -0.025 0.000 0.025 0.050 0.075 0.100 0.125

dAz [deg]



0.4

CLASS Maps (Work in progress)



Photogrammetry corrections are not included yet in this result



CLASS polarization measurement dispersion consistent with motions of the source during the flight



It is still pending to correct these motions when determining the polarization angle



Simons Observatory SAT beam maps



First drone maps done with SO-SAT already allow us to characterize fundamental properties of the beam and of the telescope performance.

The measured beam sizes are consistent with the expected values if the pointing errors are considered.

Fin

Coordinate system reconstruction

- The camera (source) coordinate system is determined in the word system provided by the GPS
- Given that the source is not pointing directly to any telescope, a correction is needed to compute the roll angle of the source along the line of sight.
- We assume that the electric field is aligned with the wire grid plane and perpendicular to the wires.
- The angle of the wires in the camera coordinate system is determined in the laboratory prior to the flight.

 $\widehat{y_c}$ Camera System $(\widehat{x_w}, \widehat{y_w}, \widehat{z_w}) =$ World System $\rightarrow \widehat{x_h}$ $(\widehat{x_t}, \widehat{y_t}, \widehat{z_t}) = \text{Telescope System}$ Line of Sight System $(\widehat{x_c}, \widehat{y_c}, \widehat{z_c}) = \text{Camera System}$ $(\widehat{x_b}, \widehat{y_b}, \widehat{z_b}) = \text{Line of Sight System}$ R. $\vec{E}_b = S_{bc} \vec{E}_c$ $\overline{X_w^c}$ $\overrightarrow{X_w^t} - \overrightarrow{X_w^c}$ $\hat{y_b} = \frac{\hat{z_b} \times \hat{x_b}}{\|\hat{z_b} \times \hat{x_b}\|}$ $\frac{S_{bc}^{2,1}\cos(\theta_c) + S_{bc}^{2,2}\sin(\theta_c)}{S_{t}^{1,1}\cos(\theta_c) + S_{t}^{1,2}\sin(\theta_c)}$ $\theta_r = \arctan$ $\overrightarrow{X_w^t}$ $\widehat{y_w}$ $\widehat{y_t}$ Point of Interest x. $\widehat{x_1}$ World System **Telescope** System

GPS - Photogrammetry difference of ENU coordinates

- We repeat the previous analysis on the ENU cartesian coordinates of the source
- The orange lines is the average every 10 points.
- The mean and standard deviation are shown both in the right panels and in the left.
- The position error, including systematic effects, is less than 40 cm.



Data reduction pipeline



GPS - Photogrammetry incidence on attitude determination

Compare the attitude obtained using photogrammetry alone vs forcing the position to be determined by the GPS

- Photogrammetry suffers from a strong correlation between angles and position.
- This is solved by forcing the solver to use the GPS position in the photogrammetry fit.
- The effect in the attitude is small, less than 0.5 degrees in yaw, and less than 0.07 degrees in roll.



Motivation

Absolute polarization angle calibration

- Standard models predict null TB and EB power spectra.
- These correlations may provide hints for non-standard physics, or may be due to instrumental systematics.
- To detect new physics we need to calibrate the absolute polarization angle of CMB telescopes to better than 0.1 degrees.
- The lack of well suited natural calibrators justifies the development of artificial alternatives.
- Our approach is to develop a UAV-based calibration source.



Polarization maps illustrating the rotation of photon polarization. Credit: Liang Dai. ©2014 American Physical Society

Sky map of CMB polarization measured by the Planck satellite (2018).

Topics

- Scientific need for better polarization angle determination of CMB telescopes
- HoverCal + PoloCalC: general project description
 - Collaboration with SO and CLASS (ACT, Polarbear)
 - Independent measurement. Artificial source.
- Metrology system:
 - RTK GPS accuracy (pointing analysis) (Rolando)
 - Photogrammetry accuracy (errors in position reconstruction from photo vs GPS, roll, pitch, yaw from lab test, statistical analysis of photogrammetry angle reconstruction) (Federico Astori)
 - Roll angle error estimation (Gabriele)
 - Camera calibration
 - Wiregrid angle determination (laboratory tests) (Matías, Gabriele)
- Site campaigns:
 - Flight programs and methodology
 - ALMA green light
 - Future plans
- Preliminary results:
 - CLASS pointing accuracy (Rolando, Yunyang)
 - SO pointing accuracy wobble effect discovery (Rolando, Carlos, Nadia)
 - Photogrammetry angle determination (Federico Astori, Yunyang, Rolando, Gabriele)
 - First polarization angle measurements for CLASS (Yunyang, Rolando)

Preliminary Error Budget

Background noise	Calibration source noise & accuracy				Telescope noise & accuracy (from SO requirements)			
Change in atmospheric emission, drone/balloon thermal emission and ground pickup $\sigma_{back} \sim 0.001^{\circ}$	Emitting power stability Modulated, angle has very weak dependancy σ _{pow} << 0.001°/√Hz	$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Attitude stability} \\ -Wind speed < 5 m/s \\ -Gimbal stabilization \\ -Wide emitting beam \\ \mbox{\sigma}_{wind} < 0.001^{\circ}/\sqrt{Hz} \end{array}$	Attitude Determination instant Accuracy $\sigma_{ADACS} < 0.01^{\circ}$ (expected ~0.001°)	Alignment between attitude sensors and polarized source σ _{align =} baseline: 0.1° target: 0.01° (or better)	Note that Abs. (Lowered by Telescope base pointing accuracy $\sigma_{base} \sim 0.001^{\circ}$	Relative polarization olute polarization angle indirect model assumpti Beam, optical elements, filters $\sigma_{beam} < 0.001^{\circ}$	angle accuracy: ~ 0 accuracy from direct ca ons on Tau-A and TB an HWP position readout accuracy G _{HWP} << 0.001°//Hz	.001° libration is only ~ 1° and EB correlations) Radiation coupler, electronics: $\sigma_{syst} \sim 0.001^{\circ}$

 $\sigma_{\alpha} \sim \sqrt{(\sigma_{\text{back}})^2 + (\sigma_{\text{pow}})^2 + (\sigma_{\text{wind}})^2 + (\sigma_{\text{ADACS}})^2 + (\sigma_{\text{align}})^2 + (\sigma_{\text{base}})^2 + (\sigma_{\text{beam}})^2 + (\sigma_{\text{back}})^2 + (\sigma_{\text{HWP}})^2} \sim [0.1^\circ \div 0.01^\circ]$



